

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/769,339	01/26/2001	Yoshihisa Takubo	Q62555	5113	
7590 01/22/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC Suite 800			MAKI, STEVEN D		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1733		
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			í
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/769,339	TAKUBO, YOSHIHISA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven D. Maki	1733	
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:	
(1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Timothy Cremen</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 January 2004	Time:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	icant's representative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112			
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 17 and 21-23			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN see interview summary attachment	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance o	written summary of the substan	ice
	appear	The first above.	İ
			İ
			į
		Pol-	
Here o Maki			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)	

Application/Control Number: 09/769,339

Art Unit: 1733

Interview Summary Attachment

On 1-6-04, examiner informed applicant's representative that the after final amendment filed 12-08-03 has been received and scanned.

Examiner proposed changes to place the case in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed changing title to -- Pneumatic tire having lug grooves--. In each of claims 1, 12 and 17, examiner proposed (1) on lines 4-5 delete -- such that an axially inner groove edge of each lug groove is aligned on the central plane-- and (2) on line 6 after "lug pattern" insert --such that an axially inner groove edge of each lug groove is aligned on the central plane--. In the specification page 3 line 3, examiner also proposed inserting --An axially inner groove edge of each lug groove is aligned on the central plane.-- after "10% to 25%". Examiner noted that the proposed drawing correction for figure 1B has been approved by the examiner. Examiner commented that the subject matter of the insertion for page 3 line 3 of the specification (which provides literal antecedent basis for the claim language) is reasonably conveyed by the original disclosure including figure 1A and specification page 10. Page 10 lines 14-17 clearly indicate that applicant broadly contemplated locating the axially inner groove edge of the lug groove on the center plane and that the inconsistency between figures 1A and 1B is resolved by correcting figure 1B. Examiner further commented that the 112 rejections against claims 21-23 have been withdrawn in view of the amendment to claims 21-23.